On Essay Practice In seton the the for the Relations of Pathology to Practice. Respectfully Probuetted Homocopathic Medical Ollege Of Pennsylvania, On the first day of January One Thousand Fifty; Doctorate in Medicine, and Ogra Conard of New-York.

Milations of Pathology to Dractice. In selecting a little for this Es-Say, I have been quided by the natour of the subject of which I propose to treat; choosing first to get an outline of my Subject inprinted, so to Speak, upon my mind, from which to fubricate a short title which will best Expuss the Essential Charactery of this outline; rather than by first Selecting a title, feel myself compelled to write with reference to a narm muly. Of the propriety of the above title, the reader must be the judge. If it Should not clearly Express the nature of the following lines, he will onby see that I have not been quided by

a name as above states. It may be well to State that I do not propose to present any new facts or discoveries; for that would be a task far beyond my capacity Even were I so disposed. But on the contrary, I Shall take the subject just as it pu-Sents itself to my mind, with my present little knowledge of it; without the Slightest attempt, or Even disposition to hunt or rankack libraries with a ween to better qualify myself for the task, and treat it according to my abil. ity and circumstances; Simply jiving my own views and thoughts of the lutject. For should atempt a research to obtain a better knowledge of the Subject, one week; or our month would

not suffice to make me more competent for the work. Inder, So do I ween the Subject, that I consider a long life, with a strong mind, Spent in the prosecution of medical ingning, insufficient to a chieve any grand and Striking improvement; but that it is reserved to the combined improvements of ages to Effect such great results. Pathology being that department of medical Science which treats of disease, we must stonightway infer, that a knowle Edge of it is Essential to the practice of midicine for without a knowledge of disease, no on Should be Supposed Capable of removing it. But in order to arrive at a knowledge of disease, we must have a previous knowledge of what

is understood by the word health. Perhaps it is deficelt to give a correct definition of health, as the word is generally used; and if so, the definition of disease must likewise be difficult. For, as before Stated, the one cannot be understood without a knowledge of the other the to show the difficulty of defining Either health or disease; we find that the functrong of different individuals an performed with various degrees of perfections and yet most, if not all of them an considered healthy. Our person may be very & centric, or the functions of his nind may be perform. Est in a very different manner from those of another who is said to be very Even in his Converet, and get both an Supposed to Enjoy health. Now if these individuals Could Each

Exchange his manner for that of the other, both would be considered insame, or nearly so. Does amy living person Enjoy abso. but health? Or are not the Courses and Effects of dreease to a greater or less Extent lunking within the organisms of those who are supposed to be perfectly healthy? These internogationes might afford interest. ing topics of speculation to those who are enmously disposed, but it is not my disign or wish to Enter upon the consideration of them on this occasion. In an individual that possesses perfect health, all the funetions are performed in a normal Easy manner. Having one arrived at a knowledge of that State which constitutes health, we imme diately infer that any condition which differs from it must be disease.

mact A disease is made up of Reveral facts or parts, which taken together constitutes its Existence! Thus then an remote, Excetting, and profimate causes; and the effects are finetroual and organic lesions, with diversified signs and symptoms. Now when several or all of these Causes and Effects are found to Exist in on Ruson, the constitute the disease under which he labors, and for which the physician must forescribe if he would make a Shillful preserietion. It is also svident that the greater the proportion of the Deparate parts of the dis-East taken into consideration by the physician in making his prescriptions, the more skillful and successful will be his fractice, if then is any truth in midicine. This leads me to another department of puthology Essentially Comected with the

praction of medicine - he pathogenesis, or diseases of medicines. an as friguest as It strikes me them are two grand divisions of pathology with which the staysician has to do - the pathology of mortifice, and the buthology of remedial agents. And hen I cannot risist the desire to quate in Substam, what I conceive to be a most sublim and immetable truth, which fee from the lips of a distinguished professor of materia medica and herapeuties in New york, in our of his lectures last writer. big: All agents, whithe morbific or remedial, produce injusions or beneficial sesults, only by virtue of the puthological Conditions which they Superinduce. If we consider the relations of puthology to Alocoputhic fraction, we Shall

find them composed of a conde set of rules, whose Exceptions are as frequent as their applications of emes home heretafon A physician may be never so well Sheller in the buthology of asseases, and yet if he lack a knowledge of the putholagy of morcing, he must necessarily full of success in the practice of medi-Com. How often do we De Environt physicians stand by the budside of a patient, fully acquainted with the pathology of the case, and wholly incupublic of affording the stightest relief. Perhaps a better Knowledge of the pathology of med comes might aborate the difficulty. I the Effects of remedies upon tu dis-Eased organism must necessarily be

Confused with those of the disease; and yet this is the chief method by which the Effects of medicines how heretofon been ascertained of Induces a known of-When we consider the great wecertainty of Merapentics as taught by the allocopathic or old school, it is not a matter of surprise that the Empiric or quach Should so frequently be seen most shillful physician. Menfor the value of a knowl. Edge of pathology must be greatly reduced if it-do not lead to a conespond. ing better method of treatment. In the old school, pathology is Chiefly valued as a guide to the gomesal Character and seat of the disease, by which the proper treatment is indicated

in accordance with what on called the principles of medicine. These principles an based upon the fact, that a certain Class of semedies produces a known Effect on certain pathological conditions of the organism. Mough Even here, the cases which form the & ceptions may be as frequent as thou which constitute the meldome magnary patterological Besides, there is a large proportion of diseases whose pathology is entirely byout the reach of the most Experi-Enced and Shillful physician; and in this class of diseases the treatment is Empirical in the broadest sense of the term. In fact it is min guess. work. Here the alterative, or some other class of medicines is resorted

to, for the purpose of correcting a cachetic or deforant habit as it is called; of removing a chronic debility, or subdrany a morbid initation. Hence, in these last diseases, that part of the pathology which is knowing the symptomatology, becomes Entirely useless, Except-for the purpose of imagining some maginary pathological condition of the organism, which will herve to Explain the propriety of prescribing some favorite remedy; but which, in truth, has no real Existence; unless it be in the imagination of its author. In considering the relations which pathology sustains to the Homocopathic, or new school fractice, we meet with a Striking difference in the importance

which is attached to its different branches by the old and new schools respectively. Mat branch Especially, which is denominated Symptomatology, seems to be Cherished with peculiar importame by the new school; including all the less. Er agrepmente symptoms, and those sympathetic or symptomatic affectrous so Callet, which the old school phy Sicians regards with little or no import. ance. This difference of necessity grows out of the law or principle of practice upon which the new school is based; making the sum of all the symptoms Constitute the disease in any grown Care. Another branch of pathology is also held in greater reveren by the new, than by the old school phy sician.

of the refer to the remote Courses of dis-Ease. for instance, while the old School physician looks upon a Chronic dissen as simple, and incomplicated, without the idea of any remote cause, the new school physician immediately attributes its origin to some other dis-Ease which had Existed in the Lysten Serhaps many years before, and from which or its Effects, the patient has never been perfectly fru; the present Chronic being Considered as an Express. ion or Effect of the original disease which still continues to disturb the organism. puptons of the But as much, if not greater infortance, is attached to the pathagenesis; or that branch of pathology which treats

of the diseases of medicine. In no one sout perhaps, do the two Dehools differ mon wedely than in this. For while the new school carefully proved the fath. ogenesis of medicines by repealed doses on numerous and healthy persons, at the Same time Sedulously quanding themselve against the operation of any Extraneous cause which might produce abnormal conditions of the organism and thurby render the proving incomplete, the old School gains its knowledge of pathogen. Esis Chiefly in the lick room, upon dis-Eased persons, and is therefore confounded with the symptoms of the disease. If there is any practical utility inda knowledge of pathology and the pathogenesis of medicines; we would

conclude from the foregoing statements that the new school fractice ought to be more successful, inasmuch as it Enters into a mon minute Consideration of many of the branches of pathology. But the success of this practice must a fortiori be preater, if we suppose, as is alleged, that its law of puscribing medicines is the only certain curation methad: for it combines a clear cont Knowledge of the diseases produced by morbific and remedial agents, with the best mile of Excelling medicinal dis-Eases for the come of those Caused by morbific lagents to the night new which In the old we hear a great deal Daid in Condemnation of the symp tomatical physician who prescribes

for symptoms without searching for The Cause. If such a physician is to he deprecated in a system of practice where quackery stand, nearly as high as skill in point of success, then a fortion should the seal of condemnation pass upon the physician who is ignorant of pathology in the nene practice, where knowledge is supposed to be a certain pleder of Incers. It is true that the venest typo in medicine may sometimes semove disease in a plain Case, Especially if the symptoms be sufficiently marked to lead to the right remedy, which also corresponding to the hidden Symptoms, Effects the care. That in the majority of cases

his chances of finding the proper remedy are sommell reduced, that his practice becomes little better than press work. Does the importance with which Symptomatology is regarded by the new school have a tendency to las physicians into the habit of symptomatical treatment! Thould it have this Effect, it will not render such treatment less fallacions, nor the opposite, careful and jude crous treatment, lest Efficacions.